

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,761	01/12/2000	RISTO MAKIPAA	99.922	5482
75	90 10/26/2006	•	EXAM	INER
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF			· CHO, HONG SOL	
	ACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		2616	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<\delta \text{Y}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/462,761	MAKIPAA, RISTO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hong Cho	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	••				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	October 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
•	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 26-43 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-43</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	-·· •	* *				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		n received in this National Stage	€				
application from the International Bure							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	it received.					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is a response to the amendment filed on 10/2/2006. Claims 26-43 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 26-32 and 34-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shiga et al (U.S 6005562), hereinafter referred to as Shiga.

Re claims 26, 39, 40, and 43, Shiga discloses providing a customer with service information via a terminal connected to a telecommunication network (figure 20, column 15, lines 46-52). Shiga discloses multiplexing Electric Program Guide (EPG) data (selection data) with program data to produce a multiplexed frame (service multiplex) (multiplexing a plurality of service data in a frame format for service transmission, column 6, lines 26-30), where data (identification and control data) used to produce EPG

Art Unit: 2616

data are transmitted with program data (service data) in a multiplexed frame (identification and control data of the service data are located in at least one frame of the multiplexed frames to be transmitted with the respective service data, column 12, lines 35-40), producing EPG data based on several tables including service description table (forming selection data for the selection of the service data on the basis of the identification and control data located in the service multiplex, column 12, lines 33-40), sending EPG data without programs (actual service data) to the customer for making selection (transmitting the selection data separately, without the actual service data of the service multiplex, to the customer terminal for displaying the selection data, column 6, lines 1-10), and providing a program selected by a user over respective broadcast channels after a user selects a program displayed on a display screen (in response to the user selecting a service displayed on a display unit, identifying the selected service on the basis of said identification and control data associated with the selected service and transmitted in multiplexed frames, and providing the customer with the identified service from the service multiplex, column 9, lines 32-50).

Re claim 27, Shiga discloses transmitting program guide data and program data to a user through different networks (transmitting the selection data to the customer terminal via a different network than the service multiplex is transmitted, column 4, lines 5-18).

Re claim 28, Shiga discloses creating a service directory from identification and control data, which service directory comprises the selection data and presents the services on a display unit (figure 13, column 12, lines 33-40).

Art Unit: 2616

Re claim 29, Shiga discloses creating a separate program table such as service description table, event information table and time data table and transmitting these tables to a user as a program guide (compiling a separate service directory on the basis of said identification and control data and transmitting said service directory to the display unit in response to said display unit connecting to a telecommunication network, figure 13, column 12, lines 33-40).

Re claims 30 and 31, Shiga discloses compiling service directory from the identification and control data of several multiplexed frames comprising a plurality of services (figure 13, column 12, lines 33-40).

Re claim 32, Shiga discloses transmitting additional program data over a particular broadcast channel for a given period of time (updating service directory continuously to servers operating in the telecommunication network in accordance with the predetermined multiplexed services and transmitting said directory service to the display unit in response to said display unit establishing a connecting to said telecommunication network, column 9, lines 36-47).

Re claim 34, Shiga discloses delivering program data in a multiplexed frame to a user over a particular broadcast channel (routing the selected service from the transmitting address to the receiver automatically on the basis of said identification and control data of the multiplexed frame, column 12, lines 20-29).

Re claim 35, Shiga discloses a terminal connected to a telecommunication network receiving service information (figure 20, column 15, lines 46-52). Shiga discloses receiving Electric Program Guide (EPG) data (selection data) of a plurality of

Art Unit: 2616

program data of a service provider in multiplexed frame (receiving selection data regarding a plurality of service data of a service provider, column 6, lines 26-30), where program guide data is displayed on a user's display unit (display the selection data of the service), producing EPG data based on several tables including service description table (selection data is formed from identification and control data located in the service multiplex, column 12, lines 33-40), sending EPG data without program data to the customer for making selection (transmitting the selection data separately, without the actual service data of the service multiplex, to the customer terminal for displaying the selection data, column 6, lines 1-10), and providing a program selected by a user over respective broadcast channels after a user selects a program displayed on a display screen (in response to the user selecting a service displayed on a display unit, identifying the selected service on the basis of said identification and control data associated with the selected service and transmitted in multiplexed frames, and providing the customer with the identified service from the service multiplex, column 9, lines 32-50).

Re claim 36, Shiga discloses transmitting program guide data and program data to a user through different networks (transmitting the selection data to the customer terminal via a different network than the service multiplex is transmitted, column 4, lines 5-18).

Re claim 37, Shiga discloses a terminal arranged to receive the service directory comprising the selection data and formed from the identification and control data (figure 13, column 12, lines 33-40).

Art Unit: 2616

Re claim 38, Shiga discloses a terminal, wherein the terminal is a television or a computer (figure 20, element 4).

Re claim 41, Shiga discloses producing EPG data based on several tables including service description table (forming automatically selection data for the selection of the service data on the basis of the identification and control data located in the service multiplex, column 12, lines 33-40).

Re claim 42, Shiga discloses presenting services on the display unit in a form of an icon (column 5, lines 26-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiga.

Re claim 33, Shiga discloses selecting a user service displayed on the display unit, but fails to disclose determining the most suitable telecommunication network for delivering the service and delivering the service selected by the user from the transmitting address to the receiver via the determined telecommunication network. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2616

was made to modify Shiga to perform a process of determining the most suitable telecommunication network for delivering the service and delivering the service selected by the user from the transmitting address to the receiver via the determined telecommunication network. The motivation is to deliver more reliable and rapid service to a user.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/2/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 9 and 10 of the Remarks, the Applicant argues that Shiga does not disclose transmitting the selection data separately, without the actual service data of the service multiplex, to the customer terminal for displaying the selection data. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Shiga discloses transmitting program guide text data (selection data) and programs (actual service data) separately (column 6, lines 7-15).

Therefore, the Examiner concludes that the rejection of claims stands.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2616

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scena S. Ras SEEMA S. RAS 10/25/0

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 10/24/06